Efficacy of Scalp Electroencephalography (EEG) as a Supportive Diagnostic Tool in Canine Epilepsy using Dexmedetomidine
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Abstract

The objectives of the present study were to evaluate the electroencephalography (EEG) patterns between healthy and epileptic dogs, to analyze the diagnostic value of scalp EEG for canine epilepsy under sedation with dexmedetomidine and to provide canine standard protocol. EEG of 29 epileptic dogs and 17 control dogs was recorded. Normal patterns, interictal epileptiform, and non-epileptiform abnormalities were interpreted using visual analysis by board-certified epileptologists. Results revealed that 34% of the dogs with epilepsy did not show discernible abnormalities on their EEG recordings. Approximately 38% of the epileptic dogs presented interictal epileptiform discharges, 28% had only non-epileptiform discharges, and 24% had both abnormalities. Sixteen of the control dogs had normal EEG pattern while one of them had non-epileptiform pattern. To identify the interictal epileptiform discharges, EEG had 37.93% sensitivity and 100% specificity for the epilepsy group compared with the normal dogs. The interictal scalp EEG, as a result, had high specificity for supporting the diagnosis of epilepsy in dogs. Moreover, administration of 5-8 mcg/kg of dexmedetomidine via the intravenous (IV) route was recommended for canine EEG study because it provided non-rapid eye movement (REM) sleep EEG, together with awake period in each tracing which was valuable for EEG interpretation. EEG, as a result, is one of the considerably useful tools to evaluate cerebral functions and epileptiform discharges. Therefore, our study suggested that EEG should be a routine diagnostic tool for ruling in canine epilepsy.
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Introduction

Seizures and epilepsy in dogs have been the most common neurological disorders at Kasetsart University Veterinary Teaching Hospital (KUVTH). These dogs were diagnosed by history and clinical signs. Scalp electroencephalography (EEG) is very useful for supporting the diagnosis and confirming epilepsy, particularly ictal-epilepsy. EEG is a safe, inexpensive, and less-invasive method. Similar to human, veterinarians mainly use EEG to confirm epileptic seizure (Holloid and Williams, 1998; Jaggy and Bernardini, 1998; Pellegrino and Sica, 2004; Jeserevics et al., 2007; Poma et al., 2010; Sheth and Abram, 2010), classify seizure type, and localize epileptic focus (Berendt and Gram, 1999; Licht et al., 2002; Podell, 2004). EEG abnormalities may be classified as epileptiform and non-epileptiform discharges. Epileptiform discharges are specific for epilepsy diagnosing and provide seizure focus. Non-epileptiform discharges are associated with non-specific cerebral dysfunction and sometimes due to a demonstrable structural lesion. Even though EEG plays a crucial role in clinical diagnosis, management of epileptic disorder and monitoring of status epilepticus (Raith et al., 2010), routine utilization in veterinary practice is less for epileptic dogs due to the lack of a universal standard for the recording technique, the absence of a common chemical restraint method, and the deficiency of information on EEG patterns of normal dogs under each type of chemical restraint. Movement and muscular artifacts are major problems in non-sedated patients for EEG recording. To obtain high-quality tracings, chemical restraint is required.

Dexmedetomidine (DEXDOMITOR™, Zoetis, Orion Pharma, Orion Corporation, Espoo, Finland) is a selective alpha-2 adrenoceptor agonist, approved for short-term use as sedative and analgesic agents. According to a number of researches, it could be assumed that sedation under dexmedetomidine imitates natural sleep; moreover, it produces an easily arousable state of moderate-to-deep sedation (Bekker and Jorden, 2004; Ray and Tobias, 2008). For this reason, dexmedetomidine is an appropriate sedative agent for EEG study. However, the study of EEG patterns under dexmedetomidine has been limited. The purposes of this study, consequently, were to evaluate the EEG patterns in healthy and epileptic dogs under sedation with dexmedetomidine, to clarify the specificity and sensitivity of EEG in order to evaluate veterinary clinical profit, and to provide EEG protocol with dexmedetomidine sedation and electrode positioning.

Materials and Methods

Dogs: Physical and neurological examinations were performed in all patients. Epilepsy, afterwards, was diagnosed by indications of clinical seizures. The selection criteria for those in epilepsy group were to have a history of at least 2 epileptic seizures and no clinical seizure during examinations. As for control group, those without history of seizure or neurological diseases before entering the study were included. Moreover, all underwent normal physical and neurological examinations and did not have any systemic disease. Blood collection was conducted in the dogs from both groups to check complete blood count, liver enzyme (alanine aminotransferase, ALT), and creatinine in order to examine general state of health before sedation. Only those with no significant abnormalities on their blood profiles were selected. In addition, owners of all dogs participating in the study were required to complete a consent form for the study. All procedures were approved in advance by the Ethics Committee of Kasetsart University.

Equipment and Parameters of EEG: EEG was recorded using Harmonie version 7.0a EN, Stellate vita ICU EEG equipment (HSYS-REC-DUO-PF, Stellate, Montreal QC, Canada). Platinum or stainless needles, 10 mm in length, were used for active, reference, and ground electrodes. EEG recording setup were: sensitivity = 7.5 mV/mm, high filter = 70Hz, low filter = 1 Hz, notch filter = 50 Hz, paper speed = 5 cm/sec; impedance of the electrodes was less than 10 kilo-ohm.

Sedation protocol: Food and water were withheld for 8 hr prior to sedation in all dogs. A catheter was placed either into the cephalic or the lateral saphenous veins, then 0.9% saline solution was administered intravenously (IV) at a rate of 10 mL/kg/h. All EEG recordings were performed in all animals under dexmedetomidine sedation in a quiet, dark, and cool room (24-25°C). Dexmedetomidine was IV administered using a dosage of 3-4 mcg/kg. In case a dog was not ready for EEG preparation, an additional 1-2 mcg/kg of dexmedetomidine was IV administered until the dog slept by 10-15 min after the initial injection. The optimum depth of sedation was the light sleep, stage of consciousness from awake to non-REM (rapid eye movement) sleep. A lead II electrocardiogram (ECG) was recorded via two subdermal needle electrodes of the electroencephalograph at both sides of the thorax. Body temperature, heart rate, and respiration rate were monitored during sedation. Each recording was performed in the same manner at the Electro-Diagnosis Unit, of the Neurological Clinic at the KUVTH. After recording, all electrodes were removed and then the dogs were slowly administered with an atipamezole (Antisedan®, Zoetis, Orion Pharma, Orion Corporation, Espoo, Finland), antidote to dexmedetomidine, via the IV route using the same amount as the initial dexmedetomidine given.

Procedure: After sedation, the skull was measured and marked for positions of electrode placement. The positions of electrode were modified from the 10-20 international system. However, either 11 or 15 electrodes were applied according to skull sizes. Then, needle electrodes were inserted subcutaneously into the marked points in the cranio-caudal direction. EEG montages were selected depending on body weight. “SMDOG” was used in dogs having small skulls and up to 10 kg body weight (Fig 1A). This montage needed 11 active electrodes that were positioned in the frontal (Fz, F3 and F4), central (Cz, C3 and C4), parietal (Pz, P3 and P4), and occipital (O1 and O2) areas. Another two were a reference electrode placed on the bridge of the
nose and a ground electrode caudal to the external occipital protuberance. A different montage, “Double banana” (Fig 1B), was used in dogs weighing more than 10 kg. It used 15 active electrodes on the frontopolar (Fp1 and Fp2), frontal (Fz, F3, and F4), central (Cz, C3, and C4), parietal (Pz, P3, and P4), occipital (O1 and O2), and temporal (T3, T4) areas. The reference and ground electrodes were placed on the same area as the SMDOG montage. Each EEG was recorded continuously for at least 20 min. To obtain awake EEG, no sedative drug was added in the last 10 min. In case of uncooperative dogs, physical restraint was gently required.

**Figure 1** Positions of the electrodes for small-sized dogs (A.) and medium-to-large-sized dogs (B.)

**EEG Interpretation:** Bipolar and reference montages were used for visual examination of all EEG outputs. Interictal epileptiform discharges consisted of sharp wave (transient, clearly distinguishable from background activity, with a duration of 70-200 milliseconds), spike (same as sharp wave but with duration of 20 to < 70 ms), spike and wave complex (a spike followed by a slow wave), polyspikes (two or more spikes associated with one or more slow waves), and train of rhythmic slow theta activity in temporal area. In contrast, non-epileptiform EEG abnormalities comprised abnormal slow activity, asymmetry (>50% amplitude with asymmetry and 2 Hz or more frequency with asymmetry) and excess fast activity. Apart from EEG abnormalities, electroencephalographers were also asked to define normal sleep structure (slow wave sleep, vertex sharp transients, and sleep spindle). EEG patterns were reviewed and reported by a veterinarian based on human EEG characteristics and were, finally, approved by experienced human epileptologists/electroencephalographers at the Prasat Neurological Institute, Bangkok, Thailand. Yields of EEG abnormalities were compared between the two experimental groups of dogs.

**Figure 2** (A.) During awake, muscle artifacts and alpha activity, 10-12 HZ, and 10-30 were waxing and waning in EEG tracing of 10-month-old Chihuahua. (B.) Normal EEG pattern during sleep in dog under sedation with dexmedetomidine.
Figure 3  Three EEG tracings showing interictal epileptiform discharge including (A) sharp wave, regional bilateral parieto-occipital region, maximum negativity at left parietal region (B) spike and wave complex in bilateral frontal area, maximum negativity at Fz. and (C) polyspikes in right fronto-centro-parietal region, maximum negativity at C4

Other outcomes: Total dosages (mcg/kg) of dexmedetomidine were recorded. The awake stage was estimated by the presence of alpha activities, eye movement (eyes closed and eyes opened), muscle artifacts, or any movement of the body parts. The sleep period in each EEG tracing was calculated as a percentage of the total EEG recording.

Statistical analysis: Descriptive statistics were performed for all dogs, including the data on the EEG pattern and characteristics of sedation. The sensitivity, specificity and predictive values of each EEG pattern were analyzed by Win Episcope 2.0® software (version 2.0, Epidecon, Wageningen University, Wageningen, NL). Age, sex, weight, percentage of sleep and dosage of dexmedetomidine were analyzed by non-parametric Mann-Whitney U and chi-square to find significant differences between the epilepsy and control groups. Results were considered significant if p < 0.05.

Table 1  Descriptive statistics (mean±SD) of dogs between epilepsy and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Epilepsy (n=29)</th>
<th>Control (n=17)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>3.80±3.38</td>
<td>4.22±2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Female (%)</td>
<td>37.93 (n=11)</td>
<td>41.18 (n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Male (%)</td>
<td>62.07 (n=18)</td>
<td>58.82 (n=7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>12.67±11.84</td>
<td>12.81±10.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Sleep* (%)</td>
<td>70.86±23.34</td>
<td>47.35±35.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage of Dexmedetomidine (mcg/kg)</td>
<td>7.92±5.04</td>
<td>5.63±2.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Duration of sleep x 100/ total time of recording

Table 2  Various breeds of dogs in control and epilepsy group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Epilepsy group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poodle (5)</td>
<td>Pomeranian (6),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-bred (4),</td>
<td>Chihuahua (6),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golden Retriever (2),</td>
<td>Beagle (4),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beagle (1),</td>
<td>Cross-bred (4),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cocker Spaniel (1),</td>
<td>Golden Retriever (2),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pomeranian (1),</td>
<td>Siberian Husky (2),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pug (1),</td>
<td>American Pit Bull (1),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shih Tzu (1)</td>
<td>Cocker Spaniel (1),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yorkshire Terrier (1),</td>
<td>Jack Russell (1),</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results

In total, 29 dogs in the epilepsy group, 5 myoclonic seizure, 6 tonic seizure and 18 generalized tonic-clonic seizure dogs were included. Descriptive statistics of the dogs both in the epilepsy and control groups are informed in Table 1. Various breeds of dogs in the control and epilepsy groups are shown (Table 2).
In the epilepsy group the final diagnosis was done in 15 dogs; cerebrovascular accident (n=3), granulomatous meningoencephalitis (n=1), hydrocephalous (n=6), meningoencephalitis (n=1), traumatic brain injury (n=1) and brain tumor (n=2) and cryptogenic epilepsy (n=1). Most of the dogs had both sleep and conscious periods during recording. The movement of body parts was observed in some dogs during recording. The alpha activity, 8-13 Hz, was seen in the end phase of recording of all dogs awakened (Fig 2A). During sleep, all dogs in both groups had normal sleep pattern (Fig 2B). In addition, all dogs showed sinus arrhythmia, 41.7% of the epileptic dogs and 38.8% of the control dogs presented bradycardia during sedation. From our study, it was found that the dogs in the epilepsy group had significantly higher percentage of sleep than those in the control group (P = 0.01).

The EEG results of both groups are presented in Table 3. Seven dogs in the epilepsy group had both EEG abnormalities (interictal epileptiform and non-epileptiform), while four dogs had only interictal epileptiform. The characteristics of interictal EEG pattern were described by 69% sharp waves (Fig 3A), 15.5% spikes and wave (Fig 3B), and 15.5% polyspikes (Fig 3C). Only one dog had EEG seizure without clinical signs during EEG recording. Eight dogs presented only non-epileptiform discharge. This pattern comprised 35% intermittent focal slow, 35% continuous focal slow, 18% intermittent generalized slow, and 12% continuous generalized slow. Ten dogs in the epilepsy group had normal EEG pattern.

The normal EEGs were found in 16 control dogs, while one dog had non-epileptiform discharges. For statistical analysis, the diagnostic test evaluation of the EEGs showed interictal epileptiform discharges with 37.93% sensitivity and 100.00% specificity. Positive and negative predictive values were and 100% and 48.57%, respectively (Table 4).

Table 3 EEG results of dogs in epilepsy and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Normal (Dog)</th>
<th>Non-epileptiform (Dog)</th>
<th>I interictal epileptiform (Dog)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Diagnostic test evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test/condition</th>
<th>Epilepsy</th>
<th>Control (Healthy)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive for epileptiform</td>
<td>11 (true positive)</td>
<td>0 (false positive)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative for epileptiform</td>
<td>18 (false negative)</td>
<td>17 (true negative)</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

EEG was considered a good supporting diagnostic tool for human epilepsy patients even though its sensitivity varies from 25 to 56%. However, many literatures reported that the specificity of EEG was high, ranging between 78-98% (Smith, 2005). In veterinary medicine, EEG has been used since the 1950s; however, the information about EEG for detecting canine epilepsy is insufficient due to a number of limitations. A number of studies reported that 12.5-86% of dogs with seizure showed interictal epileptiform (Holliday and Williams, 1998; Jaggy and Bernardini, 1998; Berendt and Gram, 1999; Podell, 2004; Chandler, 2006; Jeserevics et al., 2007; Akos et al., 2012). The present study showed that EEG of dogs under sedation with dexmedetomidine had low sensitivity (37.93%, 95% CI = 22.7, 56) for detecting interictal epileptiform activities but high specificity (100%) at 95% CI (81.6, 100). The positive and negative predictive values were 100% and 48.57%, respectively. The possibilities of different EEG yields in this study were the effect of sedative agent. Dexmedetomidine enhances an endogenous sleep pathway and mimics a natural sleep (Wagner and Brummett, 2006; Ray and Tobias, 2008; Tepper and Shores, 2014); normal sleep structures were demonstrated in the EEG tracing of most dogs in this study, moreover, it had minimal effect on electrocortical activities. Other studies got lower yields of EEG due to the use of other sedative or anesthetic agents such as propofol, barbiturates, or halothane, which suppress the cortical activity (Farber et al., 1997; Bekker and Jorden, 2004). For example, in the study of Akos et al. (2012) conducted in dogs with symptomatic and idiopathic epilepsy under propofol anesthesia, the epileptiform discharges were observed only in 12.5% of the epileptic dogs (5/40). Moreover, Brauer’s research (2012b), in which EEGs were recorded in epileptic dogs under general anaesthesia with propofol and muscle relaxant, rocuronium bromide, reported epileptiform activities in 20% of dogs with idiopathic epilepsy, and 28% with symptomatic epilepsy.

There is argument regarding the potential convulsant effects of dexmedetomidine. Some studies reported that high dose of dexmedetomidine reduced the seizure threshold in animal models (proconvulsant effect) (Misrai et al., 1994; Miyazaki et al., 1999), whereas anticonvulsive effects were noticed in other researches in which dexmedetomidine increased the dose of cocaine (Whittington et al., 2002) and the dose of either levobupivacaine or bupivacaine (Tanaka et al., 2005) required to cause seizure in rat. However, in the study of Mason (2005), influence of dexmedetomidine
sedation on the visual EEG was compared to natural stage II sleep in children with epilepsy and the authors suggested that dexmedetomidine sedation elicited an EEG pattern similar to that of stage II sleep and did not change or add new spike foci (Mason et al., 2009). In addition, dexmedetomidine was used successfully in epileptic patients during awake craniotomy for seizure focus resection (Bekker and Sturaitis, 2005). Accordingly, dexmedetomidine seems to be a promising agent for sedation in canine EEG study due to its features in action and as an antidote, effectiveness, and safety.

EEG was recorded at both awake and sleep stages in human (Díaz-Negrillo, 2013). The various patterns in both awake and sleep studies have additional value to diagnose the severity of encephalopathy. Awake EEG explains conscious brain function while sleep EEG significantly shows increasing incidence of interictal epileptiform activities (Smith, 2005). Our study gained the results in both awake and sleep stages. Thus, they increased the specificity to diagnose epilepsy.

This study applied more electrodes to cover more areas of the brain. For a decade, many EEG recording techniques have been used, for example, the technique proposed by Pellegrino based on anatomical site using 12 electrodes (Pellegrino and Sica, 2004; Poma, 2010), Redding montage (Brauer et al., 2012a; Brauer et al., 2012b) using 5 subdermal needle electrodes (F3, F4, Cz, O1, and O2), and modified 10-20 international methods (Bergamasco et al., 2003). This study applied the international 10-20 technique and used 11 and 15 electrodes for different sizes of skull.

From our study, the majority of dogs (7 of 11 dogs) presenting interictal epileptiform discharges were clearly diagnosed with structural epilepsy, while the others could not finally be diagnosed. In addition, it is vastly interesting that 5 out of 7 dogs suffering from hydrocephalus were diagnosed with the interictal EEG. Therefore, according to the findings of Akos et al. (2012), this study concluded that the types of epilepsy, particularly a structural symptom, might be related to epileptiform abnormality detection.

In humans, the prevalence of epileptiform discharges in routine EEG is 0.5% in healthy adults and 2-4% in healthy children (Smith, 2005). Moreover, in veterinary aspect, the study of Jeserevics et al. (2007) reported paroxysmal epileptiform activity in 1 of 16 healthy dogs (6.25%). However, interictal epileptiform was not revealed in any healthy dog; it probably resulted from the little sample size. Hence, a future study should be conducted in bigger scale to explore the genuine specificity of EEG.

The present study demonstrated normal sleep pattern in every dog and alpha activity in all awake dogs. This study could capture the stages of consciousness from awake to non-REM sleep in each examination; however, each age had difference in frequency and amplitude.

Excessive beta activity is only a non-epileptiform EEG abnormality and was not found in our study. However, this excessive fast activity was frequently revealed in patients prescribed sedative agents such as choral hydrate (a common drug used in children). This manifestation obscurely affected many EEG features, especially epileptiform activities. For this reason, dexmedetomidine is the proper sedative drug for EEG study.

From our study, the dogs in the epilepsy group had higher percentage of sleep than those in the control group since most of the epilepsy dogs were given anti-epileptic drugs, contributing to the depression of the central nervous system. Hence, it could be concluded that the potential for drug interaction took place when they received both dexmedetomidine and anti-epileptic drugs (Vernau and LeCouteur, 2008). In addition, those in the epilepsy group were administered higher mean dosage of dexmedetomidine.

This study applied the modified international 10-20 system method. It is simple, consistent, and available for everyone including people who do not have canine anatomical knowledge. It could also be used in dogs with a variety of ages, sizes, breeds and skull types; and could be compared over time. Moreover, it allows adjusting the number of electrodes appropriately to very small skulls. Besides, this study applied 15 electrodes in the small dogs and 19 electrodes in the large ones (including reference, ground, and two ECG electrodes) to cover more extensive areas of the cerebral cortex, resulting in more effective detection of interictal epileptiform. For only the double banana montage, it could be applied over orbitofrontal and temporal areas; thus, it was appropriate for large-to-medium skull. However, SMDOG was still useful for puppies having tiny skulls.

Since the seizure threshold decreases during sleep (Podell, 2013), the percentage of sleep in the control group, which was less than that in the epilepsy group, could cause lower incidence of interictal epileptiform discharge. Therefore, it could not be confirmed that true specificity was acquired in this study.

A short action of IV dexmedetomidine resulted in EEG recording for only 20-30 min in most dogs. The photic stimulation was not used in this study because the dogs awakened easily under this sedation, especially in the last period, and they tried to remove the electrodes in the preliminary study. Moreover, the diagnostic value could not be increased by using photic stimulation (Brauer, 2011).

In summary, Dexmedetomidine is an appropriate sedative drug for canine EEG study since it is safe, has a minimal effect on EEG, and does not produce excessive beta fast activity interfering with an EEG interpretation. Based on the results of the present study, it could be concluded that the IV administration of dexmedetomidine 5-8 mcg/kg provided non-REM sleep EEG together with awake period in each tracing. However, 41.7% of the dogs in the epilepsy group and 58.8% of the dogs in the control group had bradycardia under sedation; therefore, bradycardia from drug effects should be concerned.

The diagnostic yield of EEG in epileptic dogs was demonstrated: the sensitivity and specificity was 37.93% and 100%, respectively. Furthermore, EEG is one of the considerably useful tools to evaluate cerebral functions and epileptic discharges, whereas other investigations lack these abilities. This study, therefore,
recommend EEG as a routine diagnostic tool for ruling in canine epilepsy.
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บทคัดย่อ

ประสิทธิภาพของ Scalp Electroencephalography (EEG) เครื่องมือช่วยวินิจฉัยโรคลมชักในสุนัขภายใต้ Dexmedetomidine

พิชญาภร สร้อยสน1 วรราภรณ์ อ่วมอ่าม1* เลิมพล เล็กภูมิสุข1 อาภาศรี ลุสวัสดิ์2 กาญจนา อั๋นวงศ์2 ขวัญรัตน์ หวังผลพัฒนศิริ2 อุบล ปารมี2

การศึกษาครั้นนี้มีวัตถุประสงค์เพื่อศึกษารูปแบบของ electroencephalography (EEG) ในสุนัขปกติ และสุนัขที่เป็นโรคลมชักศึกษาความถูกต้องของการตรวจสุนัขที่เป็นโรคลมชักด้วย scalp EEG ภายใต้ยาเร่งประสาท dexmedetomidine และหาเทคนิคมาตรฐานสำหรับการตรวจ EEG ในสุนัขกลุ่มตัวอย่างที่มีอาการศึกษา ผู้เชี่ยวชาญในกลุ่มความคุม 17 ตัวได้ข้อมูลการตรวจด้วย EEG และวิเคราะห์ผลการตรวจด้วยสายตา จากผู้เชี่ยวชาญในกลุ่มโดยแบ่งรูปแบบเป็น normal, interictal epileptiform และ non epileptiform ผลการศึกษาพบว่าร้อยละ 34 ของสุนัขที่เป็นโรคลมชักไม่พบความผิดปกติของรูปแบบ EEG ร้อยละ 38 พบรูปแบบ interictal epileptiform และร้อยละ 28 พบ non-epileptiform discharge ร้อยละ 24 พบความผิดปกติทั้ง 2 รูปแบบ สุนัขในกลุ่มควบคุมพบรูปแบบ normal ของ EEG และ 1 ตัวพบ non-epileptiform. การตรวจ interictal epileptiform โดยใช้ EEG เพื่อช่วยวินิจฉัยโรคลมชักในสุนัขพบว่ามีความถูกต้องเจาะจงสูง โดยเมื่อเปรียบเทียบในกลุ่มสุนัขที่เป็นโรคลมชักและสุนัขปกติพบว่ามีความถูกต้องการตรวจร้อยละ 37.93 และมีความถูกต้องเจาะจงร้อยละ 100 นอกจากนี้ จากผลการศึกษาแนะนำการให้ยา dexmedetomidine ซึ่งทำส่งเส้นเลือดไปในขนาด 5-8 mcg/kg ทำให้พบรูปแบบ non-rapid eye movement (REM) และ awake period ในการตรวจ EEG ช่วยในการวินิจฉัยโรคสุนัขได้ดี.
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